

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/772,479 | 02/05/2004 | | Fredrick R. Driscoll | 6818-64 | 4983 | |
| 30448 | 7590 · | 10/23/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| AKERMA | N SENTE | RFITT | VASUDEVA, AJAY | | | |
| P.O. BOX | 3188 | | | | | |
| WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 3617 | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/772,479 | DRISCOLL ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Ajay Vasudeva | 3617 | | |

| | Ajay Vasudeva | 3617 | |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the d | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ring replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Office | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | hs of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ne appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | coudse |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | ,, | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | · - | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | No. of the decrease day. | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ni be entered and an t | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 26-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12-25</u> . | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application i | in condition for allows | nco hocausa: |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application | iii condition for allowa | nice because. |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

In view of the amendment, a further consideration is required to determine allowability of the claims 1 and 29.

AJAY VASUDEVA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600